arXiv:1602.06173v2 [math.NT] 23 May 2016

[1]

ON SMALL UNIVOQUE BASES OF REAL NUMBERS

DERONG KONG[1]

Abstract. Given a positive real number x, we consider the smallest base $q_s(x) \in (1,2)$ for which there exists a unique sequence (d_i) of zeros and ones such that

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_i}{(q_s(x))^i}.$$

In this paper we give complete characterizations of those x's for which $q_s(x) \le q_{KL}$, where q_{KL} is the Komornik-Loreti constant. Furthermore, we show that $q_s(x) = q_{KL}$ if and only if

$$x \in \left\{1,\ \frac{q_{KL}}{q_{KL}^2-1},\ \frac{1}{q_{KL}^2-1},\ \frac{1}{q_{KL}(q_{KL}^2-1)}\right\}.$$

Finally, we determine the explicit value of $q_s(x)$ if $q_s(x) < q_{KL}$.

1. Introduction

Given $q \in (1,2)$, a sequence $(d_i) = d_1 d_2 \cdots$ of zeros and ones is called a *q-expansion* of x, if

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_i}{q^i} =: ((d_i))_q.$$

Clearly, a real number x has a q-expansion if and only if $x \in I_q := [0, 1/(q-1)]$.

Non-integer base expansions pioneered by Rényi [18] and Parry [17] obtained great attention from different branches of mathematics, such as number theory, dynamical system, measure theory, combinatorics, et al. In 1990s Erdős and Joó [8] discovered that there exist infinitely many reals having a continuum of expansions, and later Sidorov [19]

[1]The author was supported by NSFC No. 11401516 and Jiangsu Province Natural Science Foundation for the Youth No. BK20130433.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 11A63, Secondary: 37B10.

Key words and phrases. univoque base, univoque set, local structure, Hausdorff dimension, Lebesgue measure.

showed that this property is generic which turns out to be quite different from integer base expansions. Surprisingly, Erdős et al. [7, 6] also showed that there exist infinitely many reals having a unique expansion. After that there are many works devoted to the investigations of unique expansions (cf. [3, 9, 5, 10, 15, 11]).

On the other hand, let $\mathcal{U}$ be the set of *univoque bases* $q \in (1,2)$ such that 1 has a unique q-expansion. Erdős et al. [7] showed that $\mathcal{U}$ is a Lebesgue null set and of first Category. Later, Daróczy and Kátai [4] proved that $\mathcal{U}$ has full Hausdorff dimension. Recently, Komornik and Loreti [14] investigated the topological properties of $\mathcal{U}$ and showed that its closure $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$ is a Cantor set.

In general, for a real number $x \geq 0$ we consider the set $\mathcal{U}(x)$ of univoque bases $q \in (1,2)$ such that x has a unique q-expansion, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{U}(x) := \{q \in (1,2) : x \text{ has a unique } q\text{-expansion}\}.$$

Clearly, for $x = 0$ we have $\mathcal{U}(0) = (1,2)$ since 0 always has a unique q-expansion 0^∞ for each $q \in (1,2)$. Avoiding this trivial case we will assume $x > 0$ throughout the paper. Lü et al. [16] showed that for any $x \in (0,1)$ the set $\mathcal{U}(x)$ is a Lebesgue null set but has full Hausdorff dimension.

When $x = 1$, Komornik and Loreti [12] considered the smallest base of $\mathcal{U}(1)$, denoted by q_{KL}, which is called the *Komornik-Loreti constant* in [9]. Later, Allouche and Cosnard [1] showed that q_{KL} is a transcendental number.

In this paper we consider the infinimum base $q_s(x)$ of $\mathcal{U}(x)$, i.e.,

$$q_s(x) := \inf \mathcal{U}(x).$$

Then by [12] we have $q_s(1) = q_{KL} \approx 1.78723 \in \mathcal{U}(1)$.

Recall that $q_G = (1+\sqrt{5})/2 \approx 1.618$ is the golden ratio. Now we state our main results for $q_s(x)$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $x > 0$. Then $q_s(x) \leq q_{KL}$ if and only if*

$$x \notin \bigcup_{k=1}^{3} [(0^k(10)^\infty)_{q_G}, (0^{k-1}(10)^\infty)_{q_{KL}})$$
$$\approx [0.236068, 0.255002) \cup [0.381966, 0.455748) \cup [0.618034, 0.814527).$$

Furthermore, $q_s(x) = q_{KL}$ *if and only if*

$$x \in \{(0(01)^\infty)_{q_{KL}}, ((01)^\infty)_{q_{KL}}, ((10)^\infty)_{q_{KL}}, 1\}.$$

In the following theorem we show that $q_s(x)$ is indeed the smallest base of $\mathcal{U}(x)$ when $q_s(x) \le q_{KL}$.

Theorem 1.2. *If* $q_s(x) \le q_{KL}$*, then* $q_s(x) \in \mathcal{U}(x)$.

We point out that in Theorem 5.2 we determine the explicit value of $q_s(x)$ when $q_s(x) < q_{KL}$ (see Figure 1 for the graph of $q_s(x)$ with $x \in [1.0507, 2]$).



FIGURE 1. Graph of the function $q_s(x)$ with $x \in [z_2, 2] \approx [1.0507, 2]$.

The rest of the paper is arranged as follows. In Section 2 we recall some properties of unique expansions. The proof of Theorem 1.1 will be given respectively in Section 3 for the case $x > 1$ and in Section 4 for the case $x \in (0, 1)$. In Section 5 we determine the explicit value of $q_s(x)$ when $q_s(x) < q_{KL}$, and prove Theorem 1.2. Finally, we end the paper with some questions.

2. Unique expansions

In this section we recall some results of unique expansions. For $q \in (1, 2)$ let $\mathcal{U}_q$ be the set of $x \in I_q$ having a unique q-expansion, and let $\mathcal{U}_q'$ be the set of corresponding q-expansions.

Recall from [2] that $(\tau_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}$ is the classical Thue-Morse sequence beginning with

$$0\ 1\ 10\ 1001\ 10010110\ 1001011001101001\ \cdots.$$

Proposition 2.1. *The classical Thue-Morse sequence $(\tau_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}$ satisfies*

$$\tau_0 = 0, \quad \tau_{2^n} = 1 \quad \textit{and} \quad \tau_{2^n+j} = 1 - \tau_j \quad \textit{for any} \quad 1 \le j < 2^n.$$

For $n \ge 0$ let $q_n \in (1, q_{KL})$ be the appropriate root of the equation

$$1 = \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} \frac{\tau_i}{q^i}. \tag{2.1}$$

Then $q_0 = 1$, $q_1 = q_G \approx 1.61803$, $q_2 \approx 1.75488$, et al., and q_n strictly increases to q_{KL} as $n \to \infty$.

For $q \in (1, 2)$ we denote by $\alpha(q) = (\alpha_i(q))$ the quasi-greedy q-expansion of 1, i.e., the lexicographically largest q-expansion of 1 with infinitely many non-zero elements (cf. [3, 5]). Then by (2.1) and Proposition 2.1 one can verify that for any $n \ge 1$ we have

$$\alpha(q_n) = (\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^n}^-)^{\infty} = (\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^n-1}\, \overline{\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^n-1}})^{\infty}. \tag{2.2}$$

Here, for a word $\omega = \omega_1 \cdots \omega_m$ we denote by $\omega^- := \omega_1 \cdots \omega_{m-1}(\omega_m - 1)$ if $\omega_m = 1$, and we denote by $\omega^+ := \omega_1 \cdots \omega_{m-1}(\omega_m + 1)$ if $\omega_m = 0$. Furthermore, $\overline{\omega} = (1 - \omega_1) \cdots (1 - \omega_m)$ stands for the *reflection* of ω. For a positive integer $n \ge 1$ we denote by ω^n the concatenations of ω to itself n times, and by $\omega^{\infty} = \omega\omega\cdots$ the concatenations of ω to itself infinitely many times.

The following description of the set $\mathcal{U}_q'$ with $q \in (1, q_{KL})$ was essentially established by Glendinning and Sidorov [9].

Proposition 2.2. *Let $q \in (q_{n-1}, q_n]$ with $n \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, \cdots\}$. Then $\mathcal{U}_q'$ contains all sequences of the form*

$$(\tau_1^-)^{\infty}, \quad (\tau_1^-)^*(\tau_1\tau_2^-)^{\infty}, \quad \cdots, \quad (\tau_1^-)^*(\tau_1\tau_2^-)^* \cdots (\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-1}}^-)^{\infty},$$

and their reflections, where $*$ stands for all possible non-negative integers.

By using Proposition 2.2 we can write down the sets $\mathcal{U}_q'$ for $q \in (q_{n-1}, q_n]$ and $n \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

- If $q \in (q_0, q_1] = (1, (1+\sqrt{5})/2]$, then by Proposition 2.2 we have

$$\mathcal{U}'_q = \{0^\infty, 1^\infty\}.$$

- If $q \in (q_1, q_2]$, then by Proposition 2.2 it gives that

$$\mathcal{U}'_q = \{0^\infty, 0^*(10)^\infty,\ 1^\infty, 1^*(01)^\infty\}.$$

- If $q \in (q_2, q_3]$, then by Proposition 2.2 we obtain

$$\mathcal{U}'_q = \{0^\infty, 0^*(10)^\infty, 0^*(10)^*(1100)^\infty,\ 1^\infty, 1^*(01)^\infty, 1^*(01)^*(0011)^\infty\}.$$

The following monotonicity property of $\mathcal{U}'_q$ is well-known (see, e.g., [9, 13, 5]).

Proposition 2.3. (a). *$\mathcal{U}'_p \subseteq \mathcal{U}'_q$ for any $1 < p < q < 2$.*

(b). *Let $q \in (1, 2)$ and $(c_i), (d_i) \in \mathcal{U}'_q$. Then $(c_i) < (d_i)$ if and only if $((c_i))_q < ((d_i))_q$.*

Here and throughout the paper we will use lexicographcial order $<$ or $\leq$ between sequences.

3. Estimation of $q_s(x)$ for $x > 1$

In this section we will consider $q_s(x)$ for $x > 1$, and prove Theorem 1.1 for $x > 1$.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we define $\mathcal{D}_n := \bigcup_{q_{n-1}<q\leq q_n} \mathcal{U}_q$. Note by Proposition 2.2 that $\mathcal{U}'_q$ does not change for any $q \in (q_{n-1}, q_n]$. Then

$$\mathcal{D}_n = \bigcup_{q_{n-1}<q\leq q_n} \left\{((d_i))_q : (d_i) \in \mathcal{U}'_{q_n}\right\}. \tag{3.1}$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $x \in \bigcup_{n=1}^N \mathcal{D}_n$ if and only if $q_s(x) \leq q_N$.*

Proof. If $x \in \bigcup_{n=1}^N \mathcal{D}_n$, then $x \in \mathcal{D}_n$ for some $1 \leq n \leq N$. So, there exist $q \in (q_{n-1}, q_n]$ and $(d_i) \in \mathcal{U}'_{q_n}$ such that

$$x = ((d_i))_q \in \mathcal{U}_q.$$

This implies that $q_s(x) \leq q \leq q_n \leq q_N$.

On the other hand, suppose that $q_s(x) > q_N$. Then $q > q_N$ for any $q \in \mathcal{U}(x)$. This implies that $x \notin \bigcup_{n=1}^N \mathcal{D}_n$. □

Note that q_n increases to q_{KL} as $n \to \infty$. Then by Lemma 3.1 it follows that $q_s(x) < q_{KL}$ if and only if $x \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{D}_n$. So, in order to prove Theorem 1.1 it suffices to show that the union of all $\mathcal{D}_n$ covers the interval $(1, \infty)$.

First we construct a sequence (z_n) in $(1, \infty)$, where

$$z_n := \big(\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-1}} (\tau_{2^{n-1}+1} \cdots \tau_{2^n})^\infty\big)_{q_n} = \big(\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-1}} (\overline{\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-1}}}^{+})^\infty\big)_{q_n}.$$

Here the second equality holds by Proposition 2.1. We will show in Lemma 3.3 that $z_n \in \mathcal{U}_{q_n}$ and the sequence (z_n) strictly decreases to 1.

Lemma 3.2. *For $n \geq 2$ the set $\mathcal{U}'_{q_n}$ contains all sequences of the form*

$$\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-2}} (\overline{\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-2}}}^{+})^k (\overline{\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-1}}}^{+})^\infty, \quad k \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. Note by Proposition 2.2 that $\mathcal{U}'_{q_n}$ contains the sequences

$$\overline{(\tau_1^-)^2 (\tau_1 \tau_2^-) \cdots (\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-3}}^-)(\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-2}}^-)^k (\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-1}}^-)^\infty}, \tag{3.2}$$

where $k \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$. Observe that $\overline{(\tau_1^-)^2} = 11 = \tau_1 \tau_2$, and by Proposition 2.1 we have

$$\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^i} \overline{\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^i}}^{+} = \tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{i+1}} \quad \text{for any} \quad i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Therefore, by (3.2) it follows that $\mathcal{U}'_{q_n}$ contains the sequences

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overline{(\tau_1^-)^2 (\tau_1 \tau_2^-) \cdots (\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-3}}^-)(\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-2}}^-)^k (\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-1}}^-)^\infty} \\
=&\tau_1 \tau_2 \overline{\tau_1 \tau_2}^{+} \cdots \overline{\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-3}}}^{+} (\overline{\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-2}}}^{+})^k (\overline{\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-1}}}^{+})^\infty \\
=&\tau_1 \tau_2 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-2}} (\overline{\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-2}}}^{+})^k (\overline{\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-1}}}^{+})^\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.3. *$z_n \in \mathcal{U}_{q_n}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Furthermore, z_n strictly decreases to 1 as $n \to \infty$.*

Proof. By taking $k = 1$ in Lemma 3.2 and using Proposition 2.1 it follows that $z_n \in \mathcal{U}_{q_n}$. Then we only need to prove the monotonicity.

By (2.1) it follows that $z_n > 1$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that $q_n \to q_{KL}$ as $n \to \infty$. Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} z_n = ((\tau_i)_{i=1}^\infty)_{q_{KL}} = 1.$$

So, it suffices to show that $z_{n+1} < z_n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Observe that $q_{n+1} > q_n$. Furthermore, by Lemma 3.2 we have

$$\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-1}} \overline{\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-1}}}^+ (\overline{\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^n}}^+)^\infty \in \mathcal{U}'_{q_{n+1}},$$

and in a similar way as in the proof of Lemma 3.2 one can verify that $\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-1}} (\overline{\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-1}}}^+)^\infty \in \mathcal{U}'_{q_{n+1}}$. Then by Propositions 2.1 and 2.3 (b) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} z_{n+1} &= \big(\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^n} (\overline{\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^n}}^+)^\infty\big)_{q_{n+1}} \\ &= \big(\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-1}} \overline{\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-1}}}^+ (\overline{\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^n}}^+)^\infty\big)_{q_{n+1}} \\ &< (\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-1}} (\overline{\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-1}}}^+)^\infty)_{q_{n+1}} \\ &< (\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-1}} (\overline{\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-1}}}^+)^\infty)_{q_n} \ = z_n. \end{aligned}$$

□

Now we prove that the union of all $\mathcal{D}_n$ covers $(1, \infty)$.

Lemma 3.4. $\mathcal{D}_1 \cap (1, \infty) = [z_1, \infty)$.

Proof. By Proposition 2.2 it follows that $\mathcal{U}'_{q_1} = \{0^\infty, 1^\infty\}$. Then by (3.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_1 \cap (1, \infty) = \{(1^\infty)_q : q \in (q_0, q_1]\} &= \{(1^\infty)_q : q \in (1, q_1]\} \\ &= [(1^\infty)_{q_1}, \infty) = [z_1, \infty). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.5. *For $n \geq 2$ we have $\mathcal{D}_n \cap (1, \infty) \supseteq [z_n, z_{n-1})$.*

Proof. Fix $n \geq 2$. By Lemma 3.2 it follows that $\mathcal{U}'_{q_n}$ contains the sequences

$$\mathbf{c}_k := \tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-2}} (\overline{\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-2}}}^+)^k (\overline{\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-1}}}^+)^\infty, \quad k \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}.$$

Note that $\mathbf{c}_1 < \mathbf{c}_2 < \cdots < \mathbf{c}_k < \mathbf{c}_{k+1} < \cdots$. Then by Proposition 2.3 (b) and Lemma 3.2 it follows that

$$(\mathbf{c}_1)_q < (\mathbf{c}_2)_q < \cdots < (\mathbf{c}_k)_q < (\mathbf{c}_{k+1})_q < \cdots \tag{3.3}$$

for any $q \in (q_{n-1}, q_n]$. Observe by (2.1) and Proposition 2.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathbf{c}_1)_{q_n} &= (\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-2}} \overline{\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-2}}}^{+} (\overline{\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-1}}}^{+})^{\infty})_{q_n} \\ &= (\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-1}} (\overline{\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-1}}}^{+})^{\infty})_{q_n} \\ &> (\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-1}} \overline{\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-1}}}^{+} 0^{\infty})_{q_n} = 1\end{aligned}$$

Then by (3.1) and (3.3) it follows that

$$\mathcal{D}_n \cap (1, \infty) \supseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \{(\mathbf{c}_k)_q : q \in (q_{n-1}, q_n]\} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \big[(\mathbf{c}_k)_{q_n}, (\mathbf{c}_k)_{q_{n-1}}\big).$$

In the following we will show that the union on the right indeed equals $[z_n, z_{n-1})$.

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathbf{c}_1)_{q_n} &= (\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-2}} \overline{\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-2}}}^{+} (\overline{\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-1}}}^{+})^{\infty})_{q_n} \\ &= (\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-1}} (\overline{\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-1}}}^{+})^{\infty})_{q_n} = z_n,\end{aligned}$$

and $(\mathbf{c}_k)_{q_{n-1}}$ increases to $(\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-2}} (\overline{\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-2}}}^{+})^{\infty})_{q_{n-1}} = z_{n-1}$. Then by (3.3) it suffices to prove that $(\mathbf{c}_k)_{q_{n-1}} > (\mathbf{c}_{k+1})_{q_n}$ for any $k \geq 1$.

By Proposition 2.1 and (2.1)–(2.2) it follows that

(3.4)

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathbf{c}_k)_{q_{n-1}} &= (\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-2}} (\overline{\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-2}}}^{+})^{k} (\overline{\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-1}}}^{+})^{\infty})_{q_{n-1}} \\ &= (\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-2}} \overline{\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-2}}}^{+} 0^{\infty})_{q_{n-1}} \\ &\quad + (0^{2^{n-1}} (\overline{\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-2}}}^{+})^{k-1} (\overline{\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-2}}} \tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-2}})^{\infty})_{q_{n-1}} \\ &= 1 + (0^{2^{n-1}} (\overline{\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-2}}}^{+})^{k} 0^{\infty})_{q_{n-1}}.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, observe that

$$((\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-2}} \overline{\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-2}}})^{\infty})_{q_n} < ((\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-2}} \overline{\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-2}}})^{\infty})_{q_{n-1}} = 1.$$

This implies that

$$(\overline{\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-1}}}^{+})^{\infty})_{q_n} = ((\overline{\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-2}}} \tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-2}})^{\infty})_{q_n} < (\overline{\tau_1 \cdots \tau_{2^{n-2}}}^{+} 0^{\infty})_{q_n}.$$

Whence,

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathbf{c}_{k+1})_{q_n} &= (\tau_1\cdots\tau_{2^{n-2}}(\overline{\tau_1\cdots\tau_{2^{n-2}}}^{+})^{k+1}(\overline{\tau_1\cdots\tau_{2^{n-1}}}^{+})^{\infty})_{q_n}\\ &< (\tau_1\cdots\tau_{2^{n-2}}(\overline{\tau_1\cdots\tau_{2^{n-2}}}^{+})^{k+2}0^{\infty})_{q_n}\\ &= (\tau_1\cdots\tau_{2^{n-2}}\overline{\tau_1\cdots\tau_{2^{n-2}}}^{+}0^{k2^{n-2}}\overline{\tau_1\cdots\tau_{2^{n-2}}}^{+}0^{\infty})_{q_n}\\ &\qquad + (0^{2^{n-1}}(\overline{\tau_1\cdots\tau_{2^{n-2}}}^{+})^{k}0^{\infty})_{q_n}\\ &\le (\tau_1\cdots\tau_{2^{n-1}}\overline{\tau_1\cdots\tau_{2^{n-1}}}^{+}0^{\infty})_{q_n} + (0^{2^{n-1}}(\overline{\tau_1\cdots\tau_{2^{n-2}}}^{+})^{k}0^{\infty})_{q_n}\\ &= 1 + (0^{2^{n-1}}(\overline{\tau_1\cdots\tau_{2^{n-2}}}^{+})^{k}0^{\infty})_{q_n},\end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality follows by using $n \ge 2$ and $k \ge 1$.

Therefore, by (3.4) we conclude that $(\mathbf{c}_{k+1})_{q_n} < (c_k)_{q_{n-1}}$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1 for $x > 1$. By Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5 it follows that

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{D}_n \supseteq \bigcup_{n=2}^{\infty}[z_n, z_{n-1}) \cup [z_1, \infty).$$

Note by Lemma 3.3 that z_n strictly decreases to 1 as $n \to \infty$. Then

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{D}_n \supseteq (1, \infty).$$

Hence, by Lemma 3.1 we conclude that $q_s(x) < q_{KL}$ for any $x > 1$. □

4. Estimation of $q_s(x)$ for $x \in (0, 1)$

In this section we will consider $q_s(x)$ for $x \in (0, 1)$, and finish the proof of Theorems 1.1. Recall from (3.1) that for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\mathcal{D}_n = \bigcup_{q_{n-1}<q\le q_n}\mathcal{U}_q = \bigcup_{q_{n-1}<q\le q_n}\left\{((d_i))_q : (d_i) \in \mathcal{U}'_{q_n}\right\}.$$

Lemma 4.1. $\mathcal{D}_1 \cap (0, 1) = \emptyset$.

Proof. By Proposition 2.2 it follows that $\mathcal{U}'_{q_1} = \{0^{\infty}, 1^{\infty}\}$. Furthermore, for any $q \in (q_0, q_1]$ we have

$$(0^{\infty})_q = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (1^{\infty})_q = \frac{1}{q-1} \ge \frac{1}{q_1 - 1} > 1.$$

Therefore, the lemma follows by the definition of $\mathcal{D}_1$. □

Lemma 4.2.

$$\bigcup_{n=2}^{\infty}\mathcal{D}_n\cap(0,1)\supseteq\big(0,(0^3(10)^\infty)_{q_1}\big)\cup\bigcup_{k=0}^{2}\big((0^k(10)^\infty)_{q_{KL}},(0^k(10)^\infty)_{q_1}\big).$$

Proof. Note by Proposition 2.3 (a) that $\mathcal{U}'_{q_2}\subseteq\mathcal{U}'_{q_n}$ for any $n\geq 3$. Then by Proposition 2.2 we have $0^k(10)^\infty\in\mathcal{U}'_{q_n}$ for any $n\geq 2$ and $k\geq 0$. Observe that q_n strictly increases to q_{KL} as $n\to\infty$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{D}_n&\supseteq\bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty}\big\{(0^k(10)^\infty)_q:q\in(q_1,q_{KL})\big\}\\&=\bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty}\big((0^k(10)^\infty)_{q_{KL}},(0^k(10)^\infty)_{q_1}\big).\end{aligned}$$

Note by Proposition 2.3 (b) that the sequence $(0^k(10)^\infty)_{q_{KL}}$ strictly decreases to $(0^\infty)_{q_{KL}}=0$ as $k\to\infty$, and for $k=0$ we have $((10)^\infty)_{q_1}=1$. Then

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{D}_n\cap(0,1)\supseteq\bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty}\big((0^k(10)^\infty)_{q_{KL}},(0^k(10)^\infty)_{q_1}\big).$$

So, to complete the proof it suffices to show that

$$(0^k(10)^\infty)_{q_{KL}}<(0^{k+1}(10)^\infty)_{q_1}\quad\text{for any}\quad k\geq 3. \tag{4.1}$$

We will prove this by induction on k.

First we consider $k=3$. Then by (2.1) and (2.2) we obtain

$$(0^3(10)^\infty)_{q_{KL}}=\frac{1}{q_{KL}^2(q_{KL}^2-1)}<\frac{1}{q_1^4}=(0^310^\infty)_{q_1}=(0^4(10)^\infty)_{q_1}.$$

Now we assume that (4.1) holds for some $k\geq 3$. Then

$$(0^{k+1}(10)^\infty)_{q_{KL}}=\frac{(0^k(10)^\infty)_{q_{KL}}}{q_{KL}}<\frac{(0^{k+1}(10)^\infty)_{q_1}}{q_1}=(0^{k+2}(10)^\infty)_{q_1}.$$

By induction this establishes (4.1). □

By Lemmas 3.1, 4.1 and 4.2 it follows that $q_s(x)<q_{KL}$ for any

$$x\in(0,1)\setminus\bigcup_{k=1}^{3}[(0^k(10)^\infty)_{q_1},(0^{k-1}(10)^\infty)_{q_{KL}}].$$

In the following lemma we show that the inclusion in Lemma 4.2 is indeed an equality.

Lemma 4.3. *For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have*

$$\mathcal{D}_n \cap \bigcup_{k=1}^{3} [(0^k(10)^\infty)_{q_1}, (0^{k-1}(10)^\infty)_{q_{KL}}] = \emptyset.$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.1 we may assume $n \geq 2$. Suppose on the contrary that there exists

$$x = ((d_i))_q \in \mathcal{D}_n \cap [(0^k(10)^\infty)_{q_1}, (0^{k-1}(10)^\infty)_{q_{KL}}] \tag{4.2}$$

for some $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, where $(d_i) \in \mathcal{U}'_{q_n}$ and $q \in (q_{n-1}, q_n]$.

By (4.2) it follows that

$$((d_i))_q = x \geq (0^k(10)^\infty)_{q_1} > (0^k(10)^\infty)_q.$$

Note that $0^k(10)^\infty \in \mathcal{U}'_{q_n}$. Then by Proposition 2.3 (b) it follows that

$$(d_i) > 0^k(10)^\infty. \tag{4.3}$$

On the other hand, note that

$$((d_i))_q = x \leq (0^{k-1}(10)^\infty)_{q_{KL}} < (0^{k-1}(10)^\infty)_q.$$

Again, by Proposition 2.3 (b) we obtain that

$$(d_i) < 0^{k-1}(10)^\infty. \tag{4.4}$$

Observe by Proposition 2.2 that $0^{k-1}(10)^\infty$ is the lexicographically smallest sequence in $\mathcal{U}'_{q_n}$ beginning with 0^{k-1}. Moreover, $0^k(\tau_1 \cdots \tau^-_{2^{n-1}})^\infty$ is the lexicographically largest sequence in $\mathcal{U}'_{q_n}$ starting at 0^k. Hence, by (4.3) and (4.4) it follows that

$$0^k(10)^\infty < (d_i) \leq 0^k(\tau_1 \cdots \tau^-_{2^{n-1}})^\infty.$$

Then by (2.2) this implies

$$\begin{aligned} x = ((d_i))_q &\leq (0^k(\tau_1 \cdots \tau^-_{2^{n-1}})^\infty)_q \\ &< (0^k(\tau_1 \cdots \tau^-_{2^{n-1}})^\infty)_{q_{n-1}} = (0^{k-1}\,10^\infty)_{q_{n-1}} \\ &\leq (0^{k-1}10^\infty)_{q_1} = (0^k(10)^\infty)_{q_1}, \end{aligned}$$

leading to a contradiction with (4.2). □

Proof of Theorem 1.1 for $0 < x < 1$. By Lemmas 3.1 and 4.3 it follows that $q_s(x) \geq q_{KL}$ for any $x \in \bigcup_{k=1}^{3}[(0^k(10)^\infty)_{q_1}, (0^{k-1}(10)^\infty)_{q_{KL}}]$. This yields that $q_s(x) = q_{KL} \in \mathcal{U}(x)$ if $x \in \bigcup_{k=0}^{2}\left\{(0^k(10)^\infty)_{q_{KL}}\right\}$. So, to complete the proof it suffices to show that $q_s(x) \neq q_{KL}$ for any $x \in \bigcup_{k=1}^{3}\left[(0^k(10)^\infty)_{q_1}, (0^{k-1}(10)^\infty)_{q_{KL}}\right)$.

Suppose on the contrary that there exists

$$x = ((d_i))_{q_{KL}} \in [(0^k(10)^\infty)_{q_1}, (0^{k-1}(10)^\infty)_{q_{KL}}) \tag{4.5}$$

for some $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, where $(d_i) \in \mathcal{U}'_{q_{KL}}$. Then by Proposition 2.3 (b) it gives that

$$(d_i) < 0^{k-1}(10)^\infty. \tag{4.6}$$

Note by Proposition 2.2 that $0^{k-1}(10)^\infty$ is the lexicographically smallest sequence in $\mathcal{U}'_{q_{KL}}$ beginning with 0^{k-1}. Furthermore, any sequence in $\mathcal{U}'_{q_{KL}}$ starting at 0^k can not exceed $0^k\tau_1\tau_2\cdots$. Then by (4.6) it follows that

$$(d_i) < 0^k\tau_1\tau_2\cdots.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} x = ((d_i))_{q_{KL}} < (0^k\tau_1\tau_2\cdots)_{q_{KL}} &= (0^{k-1}10^\infty)_{q_{KL}} \\ &< (0^{k-1}10^\infty)_{q_1} = (0^k(10)^\infty)_{q_1}, \end{aligned}$$

leading to a contradiction with (4.5). □

5. Explicit value of $q_s(x)$

In this section we will determine the explicit value of $q_s(x)$ when $q_s(x) < q_{KL}$, and prove Theorem 1.2. Recall from (2.1) that $q_0 = 1, q_1 = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}, \cdots$, and q_k strictly increases to q_{KL} as $k \to \infty$. Therefore,

$$(1, q_{KL}) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}(q_{n-1}, q_n], \tag{5.1}$$

where the unions on the right are pairwise disjoint.

By Lemma 3.1 we can deduce directly the following characterization of those x for which $q_s(x) \in (q_{n-1}, q_n]$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let* $x > 0$ *and* $n \in \mathbb{N}$. *Then* $q_s(x) \in (q_{n-1}, q_n]$ *if and only if* $x \in \mathcal{D}_n \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1}\mathcal{D}_k$.

In the following theorem we determine the exact value of $q_s(x)$ when $x \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{D}_n$.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $x \in \mathcal{D}_n \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{D}_k$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $q_s(x) \in (q_{n-1}, q_n]$ is the appropriate root of*

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_i}{q^i}, \tag{5.2}$$

where (γ_i) is the lexicographically smallest sequence in $\mathcal{U}'_{q_n}$ such that $((\gamma_i))_{q_{n-1}} > x$.

Proof. By Lemma 5.1 it follows that $q_s(x) \in (q_{n-1}, q_n]$. Let $q_* \in (q_{n-1}, q_n]$ satisfy (5.2). Note that $(\gamma_i) \in \mathcal{U}'_{q_n}$. Then by Proposition 2.2 it follows that $(\gamma_i) \in \mathcal{U}'_{q_n} = \mathcal{U}'_{q_*}$. This implies that $x = ((\gamma_i))_{q_*}$ has a unique q_*-expansion, i.e., $q_* \in \mathcal{U}(x)$. So, $q_s(x) \le q_*$. In the following it suffices to prove that $q_s(x) \ge q_*$.

Take $q \in \mathcal{U}(x) \cap (q_{n-1}, q_n]$, and assume that (x_i) is the unique q-expansion of x. Then by Proposition 2.2 it follows that $(x_i) \in \mathcal{U}'_q = \mathcal{U}'_{q_n}$. Furthermore, $x = ((x_i))_q < ((x_i))_{q_{n-1}}$. By the definition of (γ_i) we have

$$(\gamma_i) \le (x_i).$$

Hence, by using $((x_i))_q = x = ((\gamma_i))_{q_*}$ we conclude that $q \ge q_*$. Therefore, $q_s(x) \ge q_*$. □

Note by (5.1) that the union of the intervals $(q_{n-1}, q_n]$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ covers $(1, q_{KL})$. Then by Lemma 5.1 and Theorem 5.2 we can determine the exact value of $q_s(x)$ whenever $q_s(x) \in (1, q_{KL})$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Note by Theorem 1.1 that $q_s(x) \in \mathcal{U}(x)$ if $q_s(x) = q_{KL}$. Furthermore, if $q_s(x) < q_{KL}$, i.e., $x \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{D}_n$, then by Theorem 5.2 we also have $q_s(x) \in \mathcal{U}(x)$. □

As an application of Theorem 5.2 we give the explicit value of $q_s(x)$ when $x \ge z_1 = 1/(q_1 - 1)$.

Proposition 5.3. *Let $x \ge 1/(q_1 - 1)$. Then $q_s(x) = \frac{1}{x} + 1$.*

Proof. Take $x \geq 1/(q_1 - 1)$. Then $x \in \mathcal{D}_1$. Observe that $\mathcal{U}'_{q_1} = \{0^\infty, 1^\infty\}$. Then by Theorem 5.2 it follows that $q_s(x) \in (1, q_1]$ is the root of

$$x = (1^\infty)_q = \frac{1}{q-1}.$$

This yields that $q_s(x) = \frac{1}{x} + 1$. □

Another application of Theorem 5.2 is to consider $q_s(x)$ for $x \in \mathcal{D}_2 \cap (1, \infty)$. By Lemma 3.5 it follows that

$$\mathcal{D}_2 \cap (1, \infty) \supseteq [z_2, z_1) = \big[(1^2(01)^\infty)_{q_2}, (1^\infty)_{q_1}\big).$$

For $k \geq 1$ let

$$z_{1,k} := (1^k(01)^\infty)_{q_1} = \frac{1}{q_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{q_1^k} + \frac{1}{q_1^k(q_1^2 - 1)}.$$

Then $z_{1,k}$ strictly increases to z_1 as $k \to \infty$. Observe that $z_{1,1} = ((10)^\infty)_{q_1} = 1 < z_2$. Then the sequence $(z_{1,k})_{k=1}^\infty$ forms a partition of $[z_2, z_1)$.

Lemma 5.4.

$$[z_2, z_1) = [z_2, z_{1,2}) \cup \bigcup_{k=2}^{\infty} [z_{1,k}, z_{1,k+1}).$$

Proof. Note by (2.1) and (2.2) that

$$z_{1,1} = 1 = (11010^\infty)_{q_2} < (11(01)^\infty)_{q_2} = z_2 < (11(01)^\infty)_{q_1} = z_{1,2}.$$

Then the lemma follows by observing that $z_{1,k}$ strictly increases to z_1 as $k \to \infty$. □

Proposition 5.5. *Let $k \geq 2$. Then for any $x \in [z_{1,k}, z_{1,k+1})$ the smallest base $q_s(x) \in (q_1, q_2)$ is the appropriate root of*

$$x = (1^{k+1}(01)^\infty)_q = \frac{1}{q} + \cdots + \frac{1}{q^{k+1}} + \frac{1}{q^{k+1}(q^2 - 1)}. \tag{5.3}$$

Furthermore, for $x \in [z_2, z_{1,2})$ the smallest base $q_s(x) \in (q_1, q_2]$ is the appropriate root of (5.3) with $k = 1$.

Proof. Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and take $x \in [z_{1,k}, z_{1,k+1}) \cap [z_1, z_2)$. Then $x \in \mathcal{D}_2 \setminus \mathcal{D}_1$. By Theorem 5.2 it follows that $q_s(x) \in (q_1, q_2]$ is the appropriate root of

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_i}{q^i},$$

where (γ_i) is the lexicographically smallest sequence in $\mathcal{U}'_{q_2}$ such that $((\gamma_i))_{q_1} > x$. So, it suffices to prove that $(\gamma_i) = 1^{k+1}(01)^\infty$.

Observe that

$$((\gamma_i))_{q_s(x)} = x \geq z_{1,k} = (1^k(01)^\infty)_{q_1} > (1^k(01)^\infty)_{q_s(x)}.$$

Then by Proposition 2.3 (b) it follows that $(\gamma_i) > 1^k(01)^\infty$. By Proposition 2.2 the smallest sequence in $\mathcal{U}'_{q_s}$ which is larger than $1^k(01)^\infty$ is $1^{k+1}(01)^\infty$. Furthermore, $(1^{k+1}(01)^\infty)_{q_1} = z_{1,k+1} > x$. Therefore, by the definition of (γ_i) we have $(\gamma_i) = 1^{k+1}(01)^\infty$ as required. □

By Lemma 5.4 and Proposition 5.5 we have a complete description of $q_s(x)$ for $x \in [z_2, z_1)$.

Example 5.6. By Propositions 5.3 and 5.5 we plot in Figure 1 the graph of $q_s(x)$ for $x \in [z_2, z_1) \cup [z_1, 2] = [z_2, 2] \approx [1.0507, 2]$.

At the end of this section we present some questions.

- By Theorem 1.1 it follows that $q_s(x) > q_{KL}$ for any
$$x \in \bigcup_{k=1}^{3} \left[(0^k(10)^\infty)_{q_1}, (0^{k-1}(10)^\infty)_{q_{KL}}\right).$$
Can we determine the exact value of $q_s(x)$ when $q_s(x) > q_{KL}$?
- By Theorem 1.2 we know that $q_s(x) \in \mathcal{U}(x)$ if $q_s(x) \leq q_{KL}$. Is it true that $q_s(x) \in \mathcal{U}(x)$ when $q_s(x) > q_{KL}$?

References

[1] J.-P. Allouche and M. Cosnard, The Komornik-Loreti constant is transcendental, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **107** (2000), 448–449.

[2] J.-P. Allouche and J. Shallit, The ubiquitous Prouhet-Thue-Morse sequence, Sequences and their applications (Singapore, 1998), Springer Ser. *Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci., Springer*, London, (1999), 1–16.

[3] Z. Daróczy and I. Kátai, Univoque sequences, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **42** (1993), 397–407.

[4] Z. Daróczy and I. Kátai, On the structure of univoque numbers, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **46** (1995), 385–408.

[5] M. de Vries and V. Komornik, Unique expansions of real numbers, *Adv. Math.* **221** (2009), 390–427.

[6] P. Erdős, I. Joó, and V. Komornik, Characterization of the unique expansions $1 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} q^{-n_i}$ and related problems, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **118** (1990), 377–390.

[7] P. Erdős, M. Horváth, and I. Joó, On the uniqueness of the expansions $1 = \sum q^{-n_i}$, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **58** (1991), 333–342.

[8] P. Erdős and I. Joó, On the number of expansions $1 = \sum q^{-n_i}$, *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Eötvös Sect. Math.* **35** (1992), 129–132.

[9] P. Glendinning and N. Sidorov, Unique representations of real numbers in non-integer bases, *Math. Res. Lett.* **8** (2001), 535–543.

[10] V. Komornik, Expansions in noninteger bases, *Integers* **11B** (2011), Paper No. A9, 30.

[11] V. Komornik, D. Kong, and W. Li, Hausdorff dimension of univoque sets and devil's staircase, *arXiv:1503.00475* (2015).

[12] V. Komornik and P. Loreti, Unique developments in non-integer bases, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **105** (1998), 636–639.

[13] V. Komornik and P. Loreti, Subexpansions, superexpansions and uniqueness properties in non-integer bases, *Period. Math. Hungar.* **44** (2002), 197–218.

[14] V. Komornik and P. Loreti, On the topological structure of univoque sets, *J. Number Theory* **122** (2007), 157–183.

[15] D. Kong and W. Li, Hausdorff dimension of unique beta expansions, *Nonlinearity* **28** (2015), 187–209.

[16] F. Lü, B. Tan, and J. Wu, Univoque sets for real numbers, *Fund. Math.* **227** (2014), 69–83.

[17] W. Parry, On the β-expansions of real numbers, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* **11** (1960), 401–416.

[18] A. Rényi, Representations for real numbers and their ergodic properties, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* **8** (1957), 477–493.

[19] N. Sidorov, Almost every number has a continuum of β-expansions, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **110** (2003), 838–842.

School of Mathematical Science, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou, JiangSu 225002, People's Republic of China.

E-mail address: derongkong@126.com